

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,722	03/29/2004	Charles E. Harrison III	22241-00001-US	9357	
30678 7	7590 12/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			ROBINSON	ROBINSON, MARK A	
SUITE 800 1990 M STREET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			2872		

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,722	HARRISON, CHARLES E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark A. Robinson	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Octoor</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final.		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/04.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group III (claims 1,3-7 and 10) in the reply filed on 10/5/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not independent, and the search for all of the groups is not burdensome.

In response, applicant is reminded that inventions need not be independent in order to be properly separated, but either independent or distinct. In the instant case, the inventions are distinct as set forth on page 4 of the requirement.

Additionally, applicant should note that the citation relied upon in the traversal states "if the search and examination..." (emphasis added). Clearly, the examination of multiple, separately claimed, distinct inventions does place an undue burden on the examiner. Further, the searches for the non-elected inventions may encompass class 248 and 403, as well as other portions of class 359 not necessarily required in searching the elected invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 2872

Claims 2,8,9 and 11-26 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beck (US 6102423).

Beck shows a backing mirror assembly including mirror(323) and substrate(302), shaft(37) coupled to the substrate, angulated position holder(36) rotatably coupled to the substrate and receiving the shaft, and support structure arm(301) coupled to the substrate, wherein the position holder is located between the substrate and support structure to facilitate rotational movement of the substrate relative to the support structure (e.g. figs. 12-16). Beck further shows a suction cup(312) and that the support structure is "adapted" to fit on either a tail gate or bumper (e.g. via the suction cup).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck in view of Howard (US 5784213).

Beck does not show the support arm to be foldable.

However, such is shown by Howard in figs. 4 and 5. It would have been obvious to made Beck's arm foldable as taught by Howard in order to enable compact storage of the device.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck in view of Staggs (US 5482310).

Beck does not show the support structure to include an adjustable slide rail to facilitate height adjustment. However, such is shown by Staggs in fig. 4. It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to include Staggs' height adjustment structure in Beck's device in

Application/Control Number: 10/810,722 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

order to enable adjustment of the mirror height allowing for use on different vehicles.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Teague, Osgood, Wilcox, Brewington, and Singleton all show various arrangements for backing mirrors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/810,722 Page 6

Art Unit: 2872

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

12/2/05

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER